





just a little bit to discuss a lot of the history of this building. I'm not going to talk about a lot of the history, but it's got a lot of history.

In 2001 there was a proposal to develop a 270,000-square foot building at this site, nine stories in height. 2006 there were four proposals that ranged in square footage of area -- building area $320,000,312,000,260,000$, 135,000 square feet in area, with a 72-foot height for the smallest building, 120-foot height for some of the other buildings. And that did receive a favorable response from this committee at that time. And that 72 height also happens to be the height of the existing building out there today.

So in 2009, this is where kind of the history stops.
There was a proposal to build a 187,000-square foot structure there, again at 120 feet in height, and that was summarily disapproved. And I guess as we're talking about history, and today is part of history, today there's a -- I think a propensity to tear down buildings and build new buildings that are much larger than what's existing today.

It's quite evident in every house that goes under construction today where we're taking down 1800-square foot houses and building 8000-square foot houses. And last year 7900 square feet was the average size of home built in University Park.

So just with that overall, Jim Strode comes today

|  | with this proposed zoning change, and this zoning change does 8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | necessitate a public notice of all parties -- all properties |
| 3 | within 200 feet. And I'm showing you this little graphic here |
| 4 | and it shows the blue line and circles those 200 -- those areas |
| 5 | within 200 feet. |
| 6 | Again, here's the aerial photo shows a little bit |
| 7 | zoomed out version of that. It goes almost down to Dickens. |
| 8 | 45 houses are within this buffer. We did send out those |
| 9 | notices. We've received within that buffer eight back, seven |
| 10 | Of those in disapproval, one undecided. Outside of the buffer |
| 11 | area, the rest of the city we've received 14 comments -- 15 |
| 12 | comments back, 14 of those disapproving, one that is in |
| 13 | approval of that -- or supports that. |
| 14 | The surrounding zoning on this -- there are three |
| 15 | tracts involved in this proposal. It's an office two zoning. |
| 16 | There's a parking district zoning, and then there is a one-half |
| 17 | of one lot of multifamily two zoning. That's -- that is |
| 18 | proposed to be combined into one planned development. |
| 19 | To the north of that is, as you know Snider Plaza. |
| 20 | And it's a PD. It's a planned development, PD1R. To the west |
| 21 | is multifamily district. To the south is single family three |
| 22 | and single family attached districts. And then also to the |
| 23 | east is general retail. And of course across Hillcrest is the |
| 24 | university campus district. |
| 25 | I would like to show you what the existing office, |


|  | Page 9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | parking and multifamily zoning requirements are; although, |
| 2 | they're not quite as relevant in this case as they might be |
| 3 | because of the size of this building. Let me go back one. |
| 4 | 27,000-square foot is the currently -- current land |
| 5 | area in the -- in the office two zoning. 18,000 square feet in |
| 6 | the current MF two zoning, and the parking district is about |
| 7 | the same as office district. And I would like to say that the |
| 8 | proposed concept plan that's offered here today is a total |
| 9 | building area of 127,000 square feet, almost 128. And that |
| 10 | is -- that is less than all of the proposals prior to this that |
| 11 | I've just gone through the history with you on. |
| 12 | And of that they're proposing 86,000 square feet be |
| 13 | office, about 15,000 square feet be restaurant, and |
| 14 | 27,000 square feet be retail. And as a business option plan, |
| 15 | if they don't lease out the restaurant retail, they are |
| 16 | proposing to lease it as all office area. The reason I said |
| 17 | that the current zoning is really not that relevant, the size |
| 18 | of this building, according to our ordinances, require that |
| 19 | this be a planned development. |
| 20 | It's over 40,000 square feet, will require more than |
| 21 | 200 off street parking spaces, and so this planned development |
| 22 | is a requirement for any kind of development of this size. And |
| 23 | when you -- a planned development is put in place, the rest of |
| 24 | the zoning ordinance remains silent on the development |
| 25 | standards in that district. |





So with that, would the -- Jim Strode, do you wish to speak on this case? Thank you. MR. STRODE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Board. I appreciate you allowing me to speak. Again, my name is Jim Strode. I represent SPC Hillcrest, which is the entity that owns the partnership -- that is, the partnership that owns the property here.

I'm going to start with a -- a lot of the presentation that I'm about to show you I just saw, so I'm going to start clicking through my Power Point and kind of voice over these, which $I$ think is the best way to do this.

I recognize a lot of people out here in the audience. Some of the neighbors, we've had two what we call town home meetings in the past week. We've heard a lot of different ideas, a lot of different concerns, if you will, but in my opinion they've all been pretty favorable. So I know there's some people here that -- that want to speak, and I'll -- and we obviously look forward to hearing them do that. Let me get this -- I'm not real good at this. All right. On this plan, this is a little redundant because Patrick just showed you this. But this is the -- is the area that we're proposing for the PD. It does include the existing Chase Bank tract. It includes the parking lot. It includes one-half of the -- of one of the duplex lots over there, which is the -- which is the lot that adjoins the parking tract

proper direction. They end up out in the middle of the -- out in the middle of the street, or they'll stop and not see exactly where they are. So we've done that. Hope that's helpful to the traffic flow.

Next deal I want to talk about is the parking. This is pretty mundane stuff here. But it does show -- this first diagram up here is the -- is the -- it's a diagram of the level one of the below-grade park. All of this shaded area over here are the ramps that will occur on the west end of the -- the far west end of the structure. That's where all of the ramping -you'll either -- you'll change grades there.

And why I want to point that out is the -- the rest of these -- the rest of all four of these floors are level except just for that ramping, and I think that's a benefit. That seems to be a better way to do that, as opposed to having -- like our above-grade is because of height requirement, we've had to put all of it on like a five percent grade. These are level parks, and I think that's a more comfortable solution for all of our customers that will come in here.

The second diagram is simply a -- it's just three through -- or two, three, four down there. The first one's a little different. The rest of them will look like that.

Again, a parking diagram. This is -- why $I$ wanted to show this was this does show the above-grade parking. You -- when you enter off Daniel you enter, take an immediate right here, and
then you're immediately going up a ramp with a slight grade on it.

You'll end up at the end here. You'll flatten out, go straight across and you'll be back on another ramp going up. Each one of those ramps, $I$ believe it's six feet from one end to the other. Is that all the ramp is? I think it's -- I believe it's six feet is all you're falling -- I mean, all you're gaining on each ramp. So it's pretty slight in grade. But that -- that's -- that is the design that we've come up with. That gives us the maximum parks for the amount of land we have to commit to that.

Okay. This was a diagram that was shown earlier. It's really just kind of a cut sheet we call it or a cut of the parking -- I mean, of the project. Mine was in color. I don't think his was. You can see a little bit different. The -- of course, the above levels is what we're proposing as office. Although these two right here show retail, there's a good chance that only part of this second level will be retail.

Retail generally is not a second level -- it doesn't do well on the second level unless there's a mezzanine or you're in a mall or something like that. So we're going to try for some of this for retail. It may end up going all office. And I think the reason that Patrick spelled out earlier -- and it may not -- it wasn't that clear to me and $I$ know what he's talking about. Sorry patrick.

| 1 | Page 17 <br> Under this $P D$ we are showing these maximum -- the |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | true maximum ranges. I mean, the most restaurant we can do, |
| 3 | the most retail we can do; but not the most office. And the |
| 4 | reason that is like that is because the office is the least -- |
| 5 | it takes the least amount of parks to park the office. In |
| 6 | other words, I can't do 128,000 feet of restaurant. I don't |
| 7 | have the parks. |
| 8 | I can't do 128,000 feet of retail. But I can do |
| 9 | 128,000 feet of office. That is not -- that will -- I never |
| 10 | say never, but I don't believe that will ever happen. It's |
| 11 | just -- it -- I think he's trying to spell out, and so am I, |
| 12 | that when you do get into a PD like this with mixed uses, |
| 13 | you're up against some kind -- kind of a parking puzzle. |
| 14 | We will not know what the actual parking |
| 15 | requirements are for this project until we sign the last lease |
| 16 | and they've taken occupancy. So that's -- that was -- I wanted |
| 17 | to clear that up from earlier. Again, I just wanted to kind of |
| 18 | go over the mixed use capabilities of this second level. It |
| 19 | could take on an office look before it's over. |
| 20 | Kind of get into some pictures here or some -- I |
| 21 | guess they are pictures, or images, that really give you a good |
| 22 | idea of what we're proposing and not just kind of on a flat |
| 23 | surface there. These are prospectives. As you can see, it |
| 24 | kind of shows the entire northern -- this is a bird's-eye view, |
| 25 | if you will, of the entire project, kind of hovering over |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Kuby's. |
| 2 | And it shows our entrance. It shows the parking -- |
| 3 | above-grade parking. It shows how we've integrated, which I |
| 4 | think is important and which the staff thought was important to |
| 5 | show that this deck isn't just an island out here by itself. |
| 6 | It's actually part of the project. It does come over the top |
| 7 | of this ground floor retail. |
| 8 | This is an elevator shaft, elevator and stairwell. |
| 9 | So as you come out of this from whatever level you can -- |
| 10 | obviously the first level you wouldn't, you'd come up these |
| 11 | stairs. But you can access the plaza from any -- any grade on |
| 12 | that -- on the parking deck. |
| 13 | Also shows pretty well I think -- this is where they |
| 14 | were talking earlier about the valet park right in front of the |
| 15 | plaza. Plaza is pretty self-explanatory. I think it's going |
| 16 | to be quite an asset to the Snider Plaza area. Not only do I |
| 17 | like the area of the plaza, but the -- not just that, but the |
| 18 | walks we've kept very wide out here so any kind of festivities |
| 19 | that might occur around our -- around holidays or whatever, I |
| 20 | think we've got plenty -- or a lot of room to accommodate some |
| 21 | people there. |
| 22 | Also shows I believe the -- how we've integrated not |
| 23 | only the parking but the retail up to the office and how all |
|  | three of those uses can work together. We have talked about an |
| 25 | above -- I mean, a second level restaurant. We -- we're going |


|  | to propose there's a restaurant here or here. We have not made 19 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | those deals. And sometimes second story restaurants are hard |
| 3 | to sell there, but that's our proposal. |
| 4 | We're going to try to -- in fact, we're working with |
| 5 | people. We hope to really get a couple of fine dining |
| 6 | restaurants. I mean, we're not -- we don't want to compete |
| 7 | with really anybody in Snider Plaza as far as Banditos or |
| 8 | whoever -- name them all. I mean, it's just -- that's a |
| 9 | different -- it's a different group of folks than -- than we |
| 10 | want to pursue as tenants. And quite honestly, I think there's |
| 11 | plenty of that there. |
| 12 | This is again a bird's-eye view of the -- from the |
| 13 | opposite side over Haynie. Really the reason we showed this is |
| 14 | we have -- although the main entrance and -- ingress/egress is |
| 15 | on Daniel, we do have an exit only shown for Haynie here. I |
| 16 | also wanted to show that -- show this because we've tried and |
| 17 | as was read into the minutes earlier, this is -- we kept this |
| 18 | as low as possible. |
| 19 | This is projected to be about 32, 33 feet is all. |
| 20 | Now, this part right here pops up to 40 , and that's why we had |
| 21 | the 40 in there. We don't intend to do anything near 40 out |
| 22 | here. And these -- again, these screen -- these -- this -- all |
| 23 | of this area that is the parking deck will be screened, meaning |
| 24 | there will be a screened wire mesh of some kind over it. |
| 25 | You shouldn't be able to see the movement of the |




|  | of level two will also be for retail tenants. And so we think 22 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | just the easy access, all glass, keep it light, keep it |
| 3 | inviting. A lot of women -- we've had projects, they tend to |
| 4 | -- much rather would park above-grade than below. And we've -- |
| 5 | even our stairwells, we will have lights going down -- center |
| 6 | lights going down in the stairwells and really trying to keep |
| 7 | it very inviting and safe feeling for them. |
| 8 | So with that, I've kind of rambled on here a little |
| 9 | on things I didn't want to, but I'm here to answer any |
| 10 | questions that you might have. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN WEST: Anyone have any questions? |
| 12 | (Inaudible speaker off microphone.) |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN WEST: Mr. Strode -- I'm talking to the |
| 14 | Commission asking a question, please. Okay. |
| 15 | And anybody have any questions? |
| 16 | MS. FARLEY: What are the measurements on the |
| 17 | hard scape on the plaza part? I can't find a place where |
| 18 | there's actually -- |
| 19 | MR. STRODE: We don't have the measurements on |
| 20 | there. Brandy, do you know exactly what those -- you mean the |
| 21 | actual area of the plaza? |
| 22 | MS. FARLEY: Just basically, yeah. |
| 23 | MR. STRODE: Let me go back here. |
| 24 | (Inaudible speaker off microphone.) |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN WEST: And that was 35 feet wide and |


|  | Page 23 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $45--40$ feet deep roughly? |
| 2 | (Inaudible speaker off microphone.) |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN WEST: 50, okay. Thank you. Anybody |
| 4 | else have any -- |
| 5 | MR. JORDAN: What steps have you taken to |
| 6 | protect the residential to the south and also to the -- to the |
| 7 | west? You have screening there. You have trees. |
| 8 | MR. STRODE: Yes, sir. We have -- we're -- at |
| 9 | this parking deck we have -- although we've taken 30 feet of |
| 10 | this first lot, 20 feet of it is for the parking structure |
| 11 | itself. And then we've -- we have a 10-foot buffer that we |
| 12 | will impose on ourselves to green it out. |
| 13 | Quite honestly, just as -- whether it's -- I mean, |
| 14 | this landscape plan probably has some type of an evergreen tree |
| 15 | on there. I'm not for sure that I know exactly what that is. |
| 16 | But our intent is to get as tall and full trees as we can |
| 17 | around that end of it, so -- and to the west -- that's to the |
| 18 | west. |
| 19 | To the south, which is what I mentioned a few |
| 20 | minutes ago on the other deal, was that we will -- you know, |
| 21 | this is all -- these are -- all of this that I'm pointing to |
| 22 | here is planting. I can't think of another area that I can, |
|  | you know, use as a go-by to -- to really -- other than just to |
|  | say we're going to plant great trees and whatever underbrush we |
| 25 | need to get the people satisfied there. |



of Jim at this time? Okay. Jim, thank you very much.
I will now open the public hearing to those that would like to speak in favor of this project. If you would come up -- for both sides, come up, identify yourself, give us your address, we would appreciate that. And again, try to limit your comments to three minutes.

So those that would like to speak in favor.
MR. FULLINGTON: My name is Roger Fullington, 7702 Caruth Boulevard. I'm a partnership owner in a number of buildings in Snider Plaza. I'm also the coexecutor of the Estate of Rufus Porter, the estate owning also a great number of buildings in the plaza, including three-quarters of the 6600 block, east side of Snider Plaza, which is closest to the Strode property. And it's the block that's the most sensitive to whatever development goes on that property.

I want -- wish to speak in favor of the Strode development as he has presented it for three major reasons: The first is the most important, and that is the parking that he has presented. The top two -- top two decks of the garage will be a short-term parking area which will be very good for the customers of the businesses in that 6600 block from all the restaurants to all the retail businesses.

In that block are three restaurants, Kuby's, Peggy Sue's and Cisco. At the end of the block is Rosedale. And if you'll turn and go half a block to the east you have Bubba's.

Those four restaurants have approximately 50 to 70 employees. Any central parking area that would ease their parking problems would be a great benefit to all of Snider Plaza.

Now, the Strode plan -- and I've spoken to

Mr. Strode about this, he is setting aside the fifth level of the garage for long-term parking on a rental basis. This would be available to all of those employees of the restaurants as well as the other retail buildings in that block.

Most of the owners of the restaurants I have spoken to have in the past asserted if this is available to them, which it will be, according to the developer, they'll be willing to underwrite either the total cost -- reasonable cost or part of it for their employees.

This would be a great benefit to everyone, the City, the customers in that block, the owners of the properties and the employees. To my way of thinking, this is of great major importance in the plan that Mr. Strode is presenting.

The second reason I'm for it is on a more esoteric basis I guess. It's the architecture. This has been a medieval decaying block for at least 12 to 15 years. Everyone talks about it all the time, whether you're invested in Snider Plaza or not. People -- you hear it every week, why doesn't somebody do something with those buildings.

Well, he plans to. And you've seen his plans. I for one, our interests think they're good looking. And we
think it's going to be a boon to the city, a boon to the plaza to see a beautiful development like this.

The third reason I'm for this is the reputation of Jim Strode. I have talked to as many people as I can that I know have interests in real estate and who know something about real estate development. Jim Strode has an excellent $A-1$ reputation as a developer here in Dallas and in other areas. And he has that reputation because he's done first-class work.

And I think we're very fortunate to have a developer step in and buy this property, which inevitably will be developed. There's no question about that, it has to be at some point. And I think when he finishes it'll be a great boon to the City of University Park from not only a taxation standpoint but a purely aesthetic standpoint as well.

Our interests are for this development. We know it'll be tweaked. We know that it's -- no development is perfect, and there'll be all types of give and take and compromise before it's final -- the decision is finally made. But we are for this project as he has presented it.

CHAIRMAN WEST: Thank you, Roger.
MR. FULLINGTON: Yes, thank you.
CHAIRMAN WEST: Okay. Yes, sir. MR. THETFORD: Good evening. My name is Robert

Thetford. I live at 3437 Rankin with my wife and our young child. We live in between Dickens and Snider Plaza. We
regularly deal with the issues, the benefits and the detriments of living in that area. I'm in favor of this for three reasons, similar to what were just said.

We have employees of those businesses parking in front of our home on a daily basis. I think the additional parking would help relieve that. That would be appreciated. Second, I went to law school at SMU several years ago. It was -- this was a blighted building then, and it still is. It needs to be -- it needs to be renovated. It needs to be changed, and this would accomplish that.

And then lastly, I think what -- we would use the -when we go to a nice dinner we often go to R\&D Kitchen or somewhere else in Dallas; although, we often eat dinner in Snider Plaza, there aren't fine dining -- there aren't the kind of fine dining options that are discussed. And I think that would be something that we would use. It's an amenity that I find attractive. But anyway, I'm in favor. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WEST: Thank you very much. Anyone else like to speak in favor?

MR. WASTRUM [phonetic]: Good afternoon. My name is Jerry Wastrum. And I am the owner of Ralph Porter Company at 6704 Snider Plaza. That bald man that was up here just talking is my boss. And I just -- I'll keep this brief, but $I$ just want to tell the Commission please, we've gone through this thing for 16 years now. We don't need to go
through it another 16 years.
I think everybody is of a little bit different mindset. And what $I$ hope and pray that you guys up there sitting in those chairs and that the City and the powers that be at the City recognize, this is our last chance. This is it for Snider Plaza. There are no other places to go. There's no other places to park. This is it. This is our chance to get parking.

And I would hope that maybe -- and I'm not trying to reinvent the wheel here, but I would hope that -- you know, when -- when Jim's over here and he's digging that hole, you know, the City needs to take notice of that, and when he's digging that hole, that that hole could be more places for Snider Plaza patrons to park. We as property managers and owners of the buildings there could force our tenants to park there and reserve the surface parking for potential customers.

But this is it. This is our last chance. We've got a -- we've got a -- we've got something really good here that we can all create and be a part of and be a positive part of this neighborhood for the next 100 years. This our Snider Plaza. This is your downtown. Let's keep it that way and let's make it greater. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WEST: Thank you. Anyone else like to speak in favor?

MR. TEETER: Good afternoon. My name is Bob

```
Teeter. I live at 3309 Colgate here in the city. And we have
ownership interest in five commercial buildings in Snider
Plaza. They're all north of the fountain, so it's a little bit
distant from this project, but it's for the good of the plaza,
and we support it.
    And as Roger said, we know it's going to be tweaked.
There are issues that we know the Commission will address.
Parking is one of the great advantages of this project, and I
think it's an opportunity that the Commission and the Council
cannot look away from. I think they need to embrace it,
acknowledging, as Roger said, those tweaks, you know, will be
made.
    I know there are traffic issues. There are some
flows. There are some concerns about trucks and other things,
but I'm confident that the Commission and the Council will work
through those. And if the Commission and the Council are
satisfied, we certainly are. Thank you.
    CHAIRMAN WEST: Thank you, Bob. Anyone else
like to speak in favor of this project?
    Okay, all right. So now we -- those that would like
to speak in opposition, if you would like to come forward and
identify yourself and give us your address. And -- and again,
as close as possible, try to keep your comments to three
minutes or thereabouts.
    So yes, ma'am.
```

MS. PHILLIPS: Sloan Phillips, 3429 Haynie. So I am in the 200 foot barrier. Y'all know my husband. He was involved in the last 16 years of this project. Personally I think we're definitely on a good start and thrilled that we're headed in a good direction. My issues are with the setback. Is the setback -- what's the setback limit on Haynie for the building? Because that seems a little tight. I think for a building it's supposed to be, what, 25 feet? And that doesn't look like 25 feet to me.

My second issue is, is that my kids ride their bikes constantly to Snider Plaza. And I would like a breezeway like the alley that's used currently. I mean, I can tell you there's probably 40 kids riding their bikes through there every single day on top of the traffic that goes in and out there, just because it's -- you can't turn left on Hillcrest at Haynie.

And my third oppose to this is the parking garage that's going now into the white duplex or whatever is that as a resident it 's great that it's helping all of these -- these stores in Snider Plaza. But as a resident, I don't think y'all want to be looking at a three-story aboveground parking garage. And I don't want to look at it.

And I don't -- I mean, this was an issue in the past was doing aboveground parking. I don't understand why this would get approved over what the past issues were. I just -- I
don't care what it is, it's the backside of a building. You can put every tree in the world, but it's still the backside of a building. I'm fine with maybe one story, but not okay with -- with three stories.

And I would like the aesthetic of the building -- I think -- I didn't buy a house in downtown, and I didn't buy a house in uptown. And I feel like the aesthetics, although it's very contemporary and I like it, don't fit with the neighborhood. It looks out of place.

And I would like to see something that fits better with SMU, with the Snider Plaza, with -- you know, when they -I don't know -- for those of you who are from Park Cities you remember when they took the bank building that was in Highland Park Village and they turned it into retail, they stuck with the aesthetic of Highland Park Village; and it looks great. If you never -- if you never knew there was a bank building there, you never knew it was a problem.

So those are my opinions. Overall I'm fine with height. I don't have any problem with height. You know, obviously I want you to be able to make money, you know, between your retail and renting it out for office space. Height, not a problem. I just don't want it to go into the parking -- and obviously into residences. So that's it.

CHAIRMAN WEST: Thank you. Anyone else like to speak? Yes, ma'am.

MS. NEAL: Marilu Neal, and I live at 3421
Haynie. And I'm opposed to this project for several reasons. One, the exit from that underground parking will be right in front of my house.

And we have a whole residential section on that south side of Haynie, and as the lady before me mentioned, there are children that play here. When I bought my house back in 1973 it was residential. The bank was there, but there had been the agreement with Cam Dowell that there would be no commercial development beyond the alley.

And that did not -- because it was not put in writing, those were in the days when a man's word was his bond and what you said stood, and then that was done away with. And in terms of the 16 years -- actually it's been longer than that that our neighborhood has fought and fought hard to preserve the integrity of the neighborhood.

University Park is a place where people move because of the family environment, not to shop or to eat. That's just not why people move here. And also, I did not see an alley, so where is going to be the alley? Is it done away with? And if so, is Strode going to compensate the City for that land that he's using? And where will the trash pickup be?

It just does -- it's just bad. It may be good for commercial. It's bad for residential. And I hope that you will see your responsibility to protect the residents of this
town, not the commercial endeavors. Thank you very much.
And incidentally, $I$ have my -- I don't know whether Jessica Steele received it or not, so what should I do with -CHAIRMAN WEST: Give it to Jessica, if you would, please. Thank you very much.

MR. JACKSON: Members of the Commission, my name is Wynn [phonetic] Jackson. I office at 6727 Hillcrest, and I live with my wife and three kids at 3425 Hanover in University Park. Thank you for your time and your dedication to our city and the work that you do. I appreciated the presentation.

If I can say I'm partially in favor of this project it's because of the parking it will add to Snider Plaza. But I would second Ms. Phillips' notion that the aesthetic is not pleasing. And anything that they can do to come up with a plan B, I'd like to see it, because like she said, it's not downtown. And I don't think it's fitting.

I appreciate hearing the words of the owners in Snider Plaza. I think they have a very good, you know, idea of the history and the problems that are inherent with owning and working in Snider Plaza. And I know all the building owners are excited about the prospect of new parking.

I would -- I would also like to second Ms. Phillips' notion that there doesn't -- you know, $I$ work in Snider Plaza every day. I enjoy being able to walk through the alley, even though it isn't very scenic, without having to traverse along

Hillcrest to make my way to any retail areas down Hillcrest. There seems to be missing from this project some sort of continuation of a pedestrian feel that is presently there but will be cancelled out by the closing off of the alley.

And like I say, it's an eyesore, but at least I can walk through it. At least people can drive through it, for the most part, being careful, and at least kids can ride their bikes through it. But as this project now stands, there's nothing like that being presented to replace it or substitute it. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN WEST: Thank you.

MR. TUBB: My name is Rick Tubb. I live at 3407 Haynie, which is directly across from the -- it's the first residential structure on Haynie next to the Compass Bank building.

Couple of points I wanted to make, the first being sort of a housekeeping matter that $I$ didn't hear when staff was speaking. I believe the last action by any City body on this property was in 2011 and the Council denied 135,000 square feet. If $I$ heard correct -- maybe I didn't hear correctly, but I thought they stopped at 2009 when -- okay. So the last time around was to vote down 135,000 square feet.

I do appreciate all the work that Mr. Strode has put into this, and I, like $I$ think most of the neighbors agree, the property does need to be developed. It is an eyesore, and
nobody knows that better than me because I'm directly across from it.

There are a couple of key concerns that $I$ have, and the first is scale. Others have mentioned that. I think we've got -- and there's several points to it. The first to me is I'm not sure exactly how high Dallas Hall is, and I'm not exactly how sure -- sure how high the law library is; but I think both of them -- neither of them are anywhere close to 130 feet.

The existing structure $I$ think is 65, 68, I'm not sure about that. And when you project a near doubling of the scale of the existing structure, that's -- that's pretty imposing to those of us who are right there under it.

There was an elevation shown previously, and if I was a little more technical I would try to bring it back up, but a view from Haynie looking more or less northeast that looked -- that made that building look particularly large to me when you see a 30 -foot parapet for parking plus 40 for the elevation -- for the square he's got elevated and then all the way up. It's pretty big from where we sit. And that -- maybe that elevation can be pulled back up.

The other point is -- is -- and I was also going to point out, the comparable building or a building that comes to mind that may be worth compare -- for comparison purposes is the library -- the building that houses the library in Preston

me that if you -- that'll take it past my house heading to

Hillcrest, but it would also be possible for someone to come out to the left and whip through a circular driveway we have there and head back down Haynie. So I think that's certainly something that deserves some consideration and some thought.

But I will again say it needs to be developed. It is an opportunity, and I just hope that the right focus is given to it.

CHAIRMAN WEST: Thank you, Rick. Anyone else like to speak? Yes, ma'am.

MS. MILLER: My name is Nancy Miller, and I live at 3701 Princeton. Sorry. But I like the plan. I think it's too shiny. I do think it's too tall. And my objections to it are that $I$ drive on Hillcrest quite often, and that corner is always really busy, and there's always people pulling out and pushing in; and it's kind of scary for me. And when I'm driving with my son I'm really scared.

And the other thing I'm worried about is the little cafe walkway they have, I feel like that's going to be very dangerous crossing from that over to Snider Plaza. And I think the kids are going to be doing that a whole lot, trying to cross over Daniel -- I don't know the name of the street.

And also my son works in Snider Plaza, and I can tell you he's not going to pay a penny to work -- to park into the parking place. He's going to park in front of somebody's
house. So I don't think that's valid unless -- I think you should maybe ask the developers to guarantee that Snider Plaza park -- shoppers will be able to park there without having signs that say this is dedicated to a certain shop somewhere. And I also think they should have a whole bottom floor that they have to give to the city for employee parking. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WEST: Okay. Thank you very much.
MR. JOHNSON: Hi. My name is Wayne Johnson. I
live at 3601 Haynie. I appreciate all the time you-all put into planning and zoning, and I appreciate actually the developer coming with this plan and actually being here to present after, you know, the past experience.

I'm here to oppose. I think we're definitely on the right track. We have an opportunity to solve parking issues, to create a first-class facility, first-class mixed use development. I think we're on the right track. I'm in opposition only and really because of the traffic that it will be generating.

That's the broken record that I think you're hearing. But in my case, you know, we already have a tremendous amount of traffic up and down Haynie. My wife and I were -- you know, the 8:00 rush hour for school pickups, we stood in our front yard kind of laughing the other day or whenever. It's just already packed.

And the exit only is a nice idea. Maybe it can be
forced, but $I$ still believe if it's truly 100 cars a day, I'd be amazed for that to be actually a true number. So $I$ would need to be convinced that there would be no significant traffic impact on our property on Haynie.

The height bothers me. If it's 72 square -- or 72 feet currently, this is nearly doubling that height. It would be an imposing structure. Three stories -- I'm not sure whatever happened with our University Park lawsuit objecting to multistory parking adjacent to retail -- I mean, adjacent to residential. That -- I forgot whatever the result was, but I thought that that was a result of a legal battle.

If multilevel parking is put there, on top of that parking will be the pole lights. So our neighborhood will have to look down on -- you know, have to look up at lights looking down on them. So from a Haynie perspective we get to see the access in and out. The dumpster would be the first ingress point, and so that's the loading dock and dumpster area. And so we -- you know, that truly is the back of the building.

The landscape buffer in my mind needs to be permanent significant caliper. If you're starting with two and a half, that will be 20 years before -- it needs to start big and be permanent, perpetual evergreen always blanketing that wall.

So if the maximum allowable square feet is 100,000 or -- I'm not sure what the code calls for, that's what $I$ would

| 1 | say. I would support something that is allowable but not make 42 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | a -- any kind of special agreement to expand what is legally |
| 3 | allowable just because of the traffic. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN WEST: Thank you very much, Wayne. |
| 5 | Anyone else like to speak in opposition at this |
| 6 | time? |
| 7 | MR. MINICK [phonetic]: Good evening. My name |
| 8 | is Bill Minick. I'm a resident of 3547 Haynie. I'm excited |
| 9 | about this development and haven't been excited about anything |
| 10 | in the last 15 years on this property. So I applaud Mr. Strode |
| 11 | and his effort. It seems like for the first time in 15 years |
| 12 | we're beginning a negotiation as opposed to just it's my way or |
| 13 | the highway, and that's very much appreciated. |
| 14 | And I appreciate the City's stalwart efforts to |
| 15 | protect the neighborhood historically. To me it's all about |
| 16 | the traffic. And maybe there's a way to determine the smallest |
| 17 | square footage based on the highest potential rental rate and |
| 18 | just squeeze it down as much as you can. |
| 19 | I know that there's baggage and purchase prices that |
| 20 | have happened and we've got to live with that I guess. But |
| 21 | just make it as small as you can. Grateful for no entrance on |
| 22 | Haynie. Ideally there'd be no exit either on Haynie. But at a |
| 23 | minimum I'd ask that you require the exit to go to the left |
| 24 | onto Hillcrest and not into the neighborhood. |
| 25 | Agree with -- echo Wayne's comment about the need |

```
for a validated traffic study, the more heavily landscaped
parking garage. The cost for parking obviously needs to be
reasonable. There should be some agreement on what that's
going to be if we're going to expect the employees to bear that
expense who work for the tenants.
```

    And lastly, it would be a great bonus if Mr. Strode
    and his group would deal with the other dilapidated properties
on the west side of this proposed development. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN WEST: Thank you very much. Anyone
else want to speak at this time? Yes, sir.
MR. PURETTE [phonetic]: I'm Paul Purette. I
live at 3528 University. Mr. Chairman, thank you for accepting
us. Mr. Strode, I think that your ideas of development are
very pleasing. The idea, the concept of development is very
good. I -- some of the things -- I don't want to try to go
back through what some of the people have brought up.
I think I see a museum tower coming to the
neighborhood, so the aesthetics of what it looks like, I
just -- that's my question. That -- we've talked some about
the traffic. I've got kids that walk to school. Having more
traffic is concerning.
But I love the concept of development. I think that
that's part of who we are as being in Texas, we have to do our
development. We have to bring the commerce. We have to
support our neighborhood businesses. That's who we are, and
that's what we do. So I'm supportive of the concept.
I just think that the planning Commission can consider what the aesthetics are, the height of the building. The thought of seven stories that looks like museum tower being in our neighborhood seems a little out of place. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WEST: Thank you very much. Anyone else like to speak at this time?

MS. BROAD: Hello. I'm Julie Broad, and I live at 3651 McFarlin. I also own JD's Chippery right across from where the building will be. And for 33 years I've watched the traffic out my windows.

And it backs up in the morning when everybody goes to school. It backs up at lunchtime when everybody goes into Snider Plaza. It backs up every afternoon at carpool time. And it backs up every evening on Daniel when everybody tries to go home or wherever they may be going. And I just don't see how you're going to fit anymore cars on Daniel.

I live at 3651 McFarlin, and so now I'm part of the parking district even though I live almost all the way down here like where you are right now. And things have to change sometimes. Haynie already had an exit on it anyway from the bank, and it already had drive-through people coming out of it. And when you buy a house on Haynie, guess what, you're going to have commercial traffic coming onto it. So sometimes we have to change a little bit and have a little bit
more traffic on our streets. I live on McFarlin. Right now I have no traffic on my street because it's closed off right here. But when University was closed, guess what, I had tons of traffic on my street. So things change. And I think that what you have to consider is we cannot put anymore traffic on Daniel.

You will not -- you will have a permanent backup. Nobody can turn left into that parking garage across from Kuby's. I think you should try to put people going into the garage on Haynie, maybe the tenants coming in and out on there. And they'll be gone by nighttime, so they won't bother any of the residences. Something like that.

So I'm very happy with the way this is looking. I would like to see it developed. It's an ugly eyesore that I've looked at for years and years and years. And so $I$ know you-all do a wonderful job and you protect all of us residents, but I would like you to see that you have to protect the merchants as well. And I come from both perspectives. So thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN WEST: Thank you. Anyone else like to speak at this time?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Did I hear you say there will be a second hearing before you vote?

CHAIRMAN WEST: Well, we haven't done anything yet, but there could be. We'll know that before we leave here.

Okay. I'm not going to close the public hearing, but if there are any questions that the Commission has or -- of Mr. Strode or any of the people that spoke concerning --

MS. FARLEY: Mr. Strode, can you just explain the agreement with the parking, just so we hear it from you? I've heard it from the --

MR. STRODE: I don't have an agreement with anyone at this point. We've made suggestions. We've made -you know, we've got some ideas. The reality is that we don't know exactly how many parks we'll have past our requirement, but it's in excess of 100. And we've said that we will divvy those up.

You know, I have worked -- and I don't know if this is out of place. But prior to this plan we worked on city participation in that parking, and we just couldn't quite get to an agreement. But it essentially had the same number of parks involved. And you know, we're willing to just build it ourself now and come up -- I've heard people talk about wanting parks free for the merchants and everything.

That isn't going to be possible. I don't know what it'll cost yet. These -- it's estimated that each one of these underground parks are anywhere from 27 to $\$ 30,000$ per. So you can do the math at 100 parks. You're spending a lot of dough, and that -- you just can't give that away, so --

We are going to work with them. We know that that's

for the Park Plaza. To answer your question, we looked at the holistic traffic study, and one of the objectives the City asked us to look at was what the impact to the west neighborhood would be.

And when we looked at that we looked at the distribution based on existing traffic counts on what traffic was doing out there today. And one of the reasons is office development's a destination. Many people that work in an office development travel to it, and they would more likely use Hillcrest to access it.

So most of the time when you come to a destination you're not coming through a neighborhood. The people that would be traveling through the neighborhood to the west is predominantly people coming from the neighborhood to the west. And that was what -- the traffic study itself says that the traffic will be minimal through the neighborhood, in a short summary. Does that clarify your question or --

MR. JORDAN: You have a statement to the effect that you anticipate that the bulk of the traffic -- increased traffic will come from the west?

MR. WHITAKER: No, no, no, no. MR. JORDAN: From the east? MR. WHITAKER: The bulk of the traffic accessing this development to the office complex will be coming from Hillcrest. So it would be coming in on Hillcrest, turn right

address some of these issues that have been brought up in opposition and what we have discussed with staff.

CHAIRMAN WEST: Okay. A motion has been made to continue this hearing to next month. That would be July 12th. And is there a second?

MS. FARLEY: I'll second.
CHAIRMAN WEST: Okay. A motion has been made in second to continue the hearing. And the public hearing is actually still open, so we will again in July -- glad to hear from the public.

Couple of things that were mentioned that I'd like to go ahead -- we haven't voted on this yet, but -- is that once again, as Pat Baugh said, you know, this is in a PD. And so the last request on this property for structured parking was under the current zoning, which the current zoning does not permit structured parking. But in the PD it's -- you know, there are no rules except what we finally agree on. So that's one reason why this is different than that.

And -- so anyway, I think there were some other things, but $I$ quite frankly forgot. But $I$ think we also -just as a matter of being real about this, you know, one of the problems with Snider Plaza is that owners of the property don't really want to pay for parking, so consequently we don't have any parking for Snider Plaza.

But this will -- should provide some relief,
particularly for the south end of Snider Plaza. But it -- you
know, there's no -- no free parking. It's going to be -- of
course, unless the City gets involved with it in some way.
That's probably not going to happen either.
So anyway, I think what I heard tonight was that
we've got issues. Some issues with height. Some people like
the height and it's not a problem. I think design-wise, you
know, we never really got there with the other projects. And
you know, while -- again, one city Council turned down the deal
of the -- one of the requests, 135,000 feet; another city
Council before that approved that. So -- and this is less than
what that was.
And I've been here on every case, and this
Commission has basically always been brought down to previous
owners' sizes down -- back down to this roughly 135,000 feet.
So again, I'm the only one on the Commission still that heard
all those other cases, but that was the pattern for this
Commission then.
Cannot speak for it now since we haven't voted on
it, but it's certainly within range square footage-wise of
where we've always been in our hearings on -- and
recommendations on this case. So just want to put a little
perspective as to the history of this.
And with that being said, we have a motion to
continue this case until July 12th. And all in favor?
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| 38:20 40:23 | development | discussion 5:20 | effect 48:18 | everybody | 24:6,9 |
| 41:1 49:7 | 2:20 3:2,2,7 | 38:22 | effort 42:11 | 2:10 30:2 | Farley 2:15 6:4 |
| 53:9 | 6:14 8:18,20 | display 21:6 | efforts 42:14 | 44:12,13,15 | 22:16,22 |
| days 34:12 | 9:19,21,22 | distance 4:21 | eight 8:9 | 52:7 | 25:15,22,24 |
| deal 15:5 | 9:23,24 | distant 31:4 | either 15:11 | evident 7:20 | 46:4 50:6 |
| 20:21 23:20 | 10:19 26:15 | distribution | 27:12 42:22 | exactly 5:13 | 52:1,12,18 |
| 29:1 43:7 | 26:17 28:2,6 | 48:6 | 51:4 | 15:3 22:20 | farther 21:10 |
| 47:1 51:9 | 28:15,16 | district 3:2,7 | elevated 37:19 | 23:15 37:6,7 | favor 3:16 |
| 52:6 | 34:10 40:16 | 6:15 8:16,21 | elevation | 46:10 | 5:16,18 6:3 |
| deals 19:2 | 42:9 43:8,13 | 8:24 9:6,7,25 | 37:14,19,21 | exceed 10:10 | 12:17,18 |
| decaying 27:20 | 43:14,22,24 | 44:19 | elevator 10:6 | excellent 28:6 | 26:3,7,16 |
| decision 28:18 | 48:9,24 | districts 6:14 | 18:8,8 21:20 | exception | 29:2,17,19 |
| deck 18:5,12 | development's | 8:22 | 21:20,22 | 20:10 | 30:24 31:19 |
| 19:23 20:3 | 48:8 | divvy 46:11 | ELITE 53:13 | excess 46:11 | 35:11 51:25 |
| 23:9 24:9 | diagram 15:7 | dock 41:17 | embrace 31:10 | excited 35:21 | 52:17 |
| decks 26:19 | 15:7,20,23 | doing 20:3 | employee 40:6 | 42:8,9 | favorable 7:10 |
| dedicated 40:4 | 16:12 | 32:24 39:21 | 49:9 | excuse 2:18 | 13:16 |
| dedication | Dickens 8:7 | 48:7 | employees | 47:11 | feel 33:7 $36: 3$ |
| 35:9 | 28:25 | door 21:9 | 27:1,7,13,16 | exist 25:3 | 39:19 |
| deep 23:1 | different 6:13 | doubling 37:11 | 29:4 43:4 | existing 3:1,25 | feeling 22:7 |
| definitely 32:4 | 13:15,15 | 41:6 | endeavors | 7:12,19 8:25 | feet 4:24 5:1,2 |
| 40:13 | 15:22 16:15 | dough 46:23 | 35:1 | 10:20 13:22 | 5:5,6,7 7:8 |
| delete 3:2,10 | 19:9,9 21:11 | Dowell 34:9 | engineer 47:14 | 14:20 37:10 | 7:15,23 8:3,5 |
| denied 36:19 | 30:2 50:18 | downtown | engineers 4:16 | 37:12 48:6 | 9:5,9,12,13 |
| DEPOSITION | digging 30:11 | 30:21 33:6 | 38:21 | exit 19:15 34:3 | 9:14,20 10:2 |
| 53:13 | 30:13 | 35:16 | enjoy 35:24 | 38:11,17 | 10:3,3,7,10 |
| described 6:18 | dilapidated | drive 36:6 | enlighten | 40:25 42:22 | 11:4 12:8,9 |
| deserves 39:5 | 43:7 | 39:14 | 24:16 | 42:23 44:21 | 12:10 16:5,7 |
| design 16:9 | Dillard 2:14 | drive-through | enter 15:25,25 | expand 24:16 | 17:6,8,9 |
| 24:1 | 12:8 | 44:22 | entire 17:24,25 | 24:21 42:2 | 19:19 22:25 |
| design-wise | dining 11:10 | driveway 39:3 | entity $13: 5$ | expect 43:4 | 23:1,9,10 |
| 51:7 | 11:11 19:5 | driving 39:17 | entrance 18:2 | expense 43:5 | 32:8,9 36:20 |
| destination | 29:14,15 | dumpster | 19:14 42:21 | experience | 36:22 37:9 |
| 48:8,11 49:6 | dinner 29:12 | 41:16,17 | environment | 40:12 | 38:1 41:6,24 |
| detailed 3:9,19 | 29:13 | duplex 13:24 | 34:18 | Expiration | 51:10,15 |
| 10:24 21:15 | direction 15:1 | 32:18 | equipment | 53:13 | festivities |
| 47:14 | 32:5 |  | 10:4,5 | explain 46:4 | 18:18 |
| details 21:15 | directly 36:13 | E | esoteric 27:18 | 47:19 | fifth 27:5 |
| determine | 37:1 | E 6:13 | essentially | eyesore 36:5 | fill $4: 4$ |
| 42:16 | director 2:19 | earlier 11:8 | 46:16 | 36:25 45:14 | final 10:22 |
| detriments | 2:20 | $16: 12,23$ $17 \cdot 1718 \cdot 14$ | estate 26:11,11 |  | 28:18 |
| 29:1 | dirt 4:11 | 17:17 18:14 | 28:5,6 | F | finally $28: 18$ |
| develop 7:4 | disapproval | 19:17 | estimated | facility $40: 15$ | 38:8 50:17 |
| developed | 8:10 | ease 27:2 | 46:21 | facing 24:4 | find $22: 1725: 1$ |
| 28:11 36:25 | disapproved | east 8:23 26:13 | estimating | fact 11:24 19:4 | 25:2 29:17 |
| 39:6 45:14 | 7:16 | 26:25 48:22 | 38:20 | 20:17 47:18 | fine 11:10 19:5 |
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| 29:14,15 | forward 13:18 | 17:18 20:11 | green 20:9,10 | 12:21 27:22 | 48:10,25,25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33:3,18 | 31:21 | 22:23 26:25 | 23:12 | 36:17,20 | historically |
| finishes 28:12 | fought $34: 15$ | 29:12,12,25 | gross 10:2 | 45:22 46:5 | 42:15 |
| Firm 53:14 | 34:15 | 30:6 33:22 | ground 3:24 | 50:9 | history 7:1,2,3 |
| first 2:7,9,17 | found $24: 18,19$ | 42:23 43:15 | 18:7 21:8 | heard 11:8 | 7:13,16,17 |
| 2:18,23 11:9 | fountain 31:3 | 44:16 47:22 | group 6:10 | 13:14 24:23 | 9:11 35:19 |
| 15:6,21 | four 7:6 10:10 | 49:11 50:12 | 19:9 24:23 | 25:10 36:20 | 51:23 |
| 18:10 21:2 | 11:14 15:13 | go-by 23:23 | 24:23 25:3,6 | 46:6,18 51:5 | hole 30:11,13 |
| 21:23 23:10 | 15:21 27:1 | goes 7:20 8:7 | 25:8 38:13 | 51:16 | 30:13 |
| 24:22 26:18 | 49:10 | 21:20,21 | 43:7 | hearing 2:24 | holes 4:11 5:11 |
| 36:13,16 | frankly 50:20 | 24:11 26:15 | guarantee 40:2 | 5:20 6:12 | holidays 18:19 |
| 37:4,5 41:16 | free 14:19 20:3 | 32:14 44:12 | guess 7:16 | 11:22 12:1,3 | holistic 48:2 |
| 42:11 | 46:19 51:2 | 44:13 | 17:21 27:19 | 12:22 13:18 | home 7:23 |
| first-class 28:8 | front 18:14 | going 2:7,8,8 | 42:20 44:23 | 26:2 35:17 | 13:14 24:15 |
| 40:15,15 | 24:6,9 29:5 | 3:217:2 11:2 | 45:3 | 40:20 45:23 | 29:5 44:16 |
| fit 33:8 44:17 | 34:4 39:25 | 13:8,10 14:2 | guys 30:3 | 46:1 49:22 | honestly 19:10 |
| fits 33:10 | 40:23 | 14:22,25 |  | 49:25 50:4,8 | 23:13 |
| fitting 35:16 | full 23:16 | 16:1,4,21,22 | H | 50:8 | hope 11:19 |
| five 4:24 15:17 | Fullington | 18:15,25 | half 5:7 24:20 | hearings 51:21 | 15:3 19:5 |
| 31:2 | 26:8,8 28:21 | 19:4 20:17 | 26:25 41:21 | heavily $20: 6$ | 20:19 30:3,9 |
| fix 4:10 | furnished 52:7 | 22:5,6 23:24 | Hall 37:6 | 43:1 | 30:10 34:24 |
| flat 17:22 |  | 28:1 31:6 | hand 53:9 | height 7:6,8,9 | 39:7 |
| flatten 16:3 | G | 32:18 34:20 | Hanover 35:8 | 7:11,12,15 | hopefully |
| floor 10:2 11:9 | gaining 16:8 | 34:21 37:22 | happen 17:10 | 10:3,8 11:5 | 12:14 49:14 |
| 11:10 18:7 | garage 3:7 4:1 | 38:17 39:19 | 38:25 51:4 | 15:16 33:19 | 52:24 |
| 21:8 40:5 | 4:22 26:19 | 39:21,24,25 | happened 41:8 | 33:19,22 | hour 40:22 |
| floors 15:13 | 27:6 32:17 | 43:4,4 44:16 | 42:20 | 41:5,6 44:3 | house 7:20 |
| 20:16 21:21 | 32:21 43:2 | 44:17,24 | happens 7:11 | 51:6,7 | 33:6,7 34:4,7 |
| flow 15:4 | 45:8,10 49:1 | 45:9 46:1,20 | happy 45:13 | held 1:23 | 39:1 40:1 |
| flows 31:14 | general 8:23 | 46:25 51:2,4 | hard 3:16 19:2 | Hello 44:8 | 44:23 |
| focus 39:7 | generally | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { g o o d }} 13: 19$ | 22:17 34:15 | help 5:10,11 | housekeeping |
| folks 14:4 19:9 | 16:19 21:22 | 16:17 17:21 | Harris 2:15 | 29:6 | 36:17 |
| 20:11 24:21 | 25:12,12 | 26:20 27:25 | 6:5 52:3,21 | helpful 15:4 | houses 7:22,22 |
| follows 1:25 | generating | 28:23 29:20 | Haynie 6:17 | helping 32:19 | 8:8 37:25 |
| foot 7:5,14,21 | 40:18 | 30:18,25 | 19:13,15 | Hi 40:8 | hovering 17:25 |
| 7:22 9:4 32:2 | getting 49:14 | 31:4 32:4,5 | 20:11 25:8 | hiding 25:1 | husband 32:2 |
| footage 7:7 | gist 12:24 | 34:23 35:18 | 25:10,16,23 | high 37:6,7 |  |
| 42:17 | give 6:20 11:24 | 42:7 43:15 | 32:1,6,16 | higher 38:1 | I |
| footage-wise | 12:15 17:21 | Goodson 4:16 | 34:2,6 36:13 | highest 42:17 | idea 14:5 |
| 51:20 | 26:4 28:17 | grade 15:17 | 36:14 37:16 | Highland | 17:22 35:18 |
| force $30: 15$ | 31:22 35:4 | 16:1,8 18:11 | 38:6,12,17 | 33:13,15 | 40:25 43:14 |
| 38:23 | 40:6 46:24 | grades 15:11 | 38:18 39:4 | highway 42:13 | 49:5 |
| forced 41:1 | given 39:8 53:9 | graphic 8:3 | 40:9,21 41:4 | Hillcrest 6:18 | Ideally 42:22 |
| forcing 38:24 | gives 14:5 | Grateful 42:21 | 41:15 42:8 | 6:25 8:23 | ideas 13:15 |
| foregoing 53:5 | 16:10 | great 12:2 | 42:22,22 | 13:5 14:15 | 43:13 46:9 |
| forgot 2:18,21 | glad 12:4 50:9 | 23:24 26:11 | 44:21,23 | 14:20,25 | identify 26:4 |
| 41:10 50:20 | glass 11:13 | 27:3,14,16 | 45:10 | 20:14,25 | 31:22 47:22 |
| form 10:21 | 20:18,21 | 28:12 31:8 | head 39:4 | 21:5 32:15 | illustrative |
| format 11:21 | 22:2 | 32:19 33:15 | headed 32:5 | 35:7 36:1,1 | 6:15 |
| former 6:24 | go 2:2,11,17 | 43:6 | heading 39:1 | 38:24 39:2 | images 17:21 |
| fortunate 28:9 | 9:3 14:2 16:4 | greater 30:22 | hear 2:17,23 | 39:14 42:24 | immediate |
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| 2:13 15:25 | 14:19 20:13 | 51:25 52:24 | 42:19 45:15 | 30:21,22 | long-term 27:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| immediately | introduce 2:11 | June 1:8,23 2:4 | 45:25 46:9 | level 4:4 15:7 | longer 34:14 |
| 14:1 16:1 | 2:18 21:4 | justification | 46:10,13,13 | 15:13,18 | look 13:18 |
| impact 41:4 | invested 27:21 | 47:19 | 46:17,20,25 | 16:18,19,20 | 15:22 17:19 |
| 47:16 48:3 | invited 24:17 |  | 49:10 50:13 | 17:18 18:9 | 21:16 24:9 |
| importance | inviting 22:3,7 | K | 50:16,21 | 18:10,25 | 31:10 32:9 |
| 27:17 | involved 8:15 | keep 12:23 | 51:2,8,9 | 21:20,21,25 | 32:22 37:17 |
| important | 32:3 46:17 | 22:2,2,6 | knows 37:1 | 21:25 22:1 | 41:14,14 |
| 10:19 14:8 | 51:3 | 29:23 30:21 | Kuby's 18:1 | 27:5 | 47:15 48:3 |
| 18:4,4 26:18 | involves 6:23 | 31:23 | 21:9 26:23 | levels 10:10,11 | looked 37:17 |
| impose 23:12 | island 18:5 | kept 18:18 | 45:9 | 11:14 16:16 | 45:15 48:1,5 |
| imposing | issue 4:7 32:10 | 19:17 |  | library 37:7,25 | 48:5 |
| 37:13 41:7 | 32:23 38:8 | key 37:3 | L | 37:25 | looking 4:25 |
| Inaudible | 38:16 | kids 32:10,13 | lady 34:6 | light 22:2 | 20:14 21:12 |
| 22:12,24 | issued 10:25 | 35:8 36:7 | land 9:4 10:14 | lights 20:1 | 27:25 32:21 |
| 23:2 | issues 4:10 | 39:21 43:20 | 10:15 16:10 | 22:5,6 41:13 | 37:16 41:14 |
| incidentally | 14:21 29:1 | Kimley-Horn | 34:21 | 41:14 | 45:13 |
| 35:2 | 31:7,13 32:5 | 47:25 | landscape 3:8 | limit 12:19,24 | looks 21:11 |
| include 10:16 | 32:25 40:14 | kind 7:13 9:22 | 3:10,19 14:4 | 26:6 32:6 | 25:15 33:9 |
| 13:22 | 50:1 51:6,6 | 10:15 11:1,3 | 20:6 23:14 | line 8:4 11:6 | 33:15 43:18 |
| includes 10:3 | it'll $24: 9$ 28:12 | 13:10 14:9 | 41:19 | 14:22 | 44:4 |
| 13:23,23 | 28:16 46:21 | 14:11 16:13 | landscaped | list 24:15,19 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o t }} 5: 12 \mathrm{6}: 18$ |
| including 6:15 | item 3:15 6:11 | 17:13,13,17 | 43:1 | listen 25:2 | 6:18 7:1,2,3 |
| 26:12 | 52:5 | 17:20,22,24 | landscaping | little 7:1 8:3,6 | 8:17 11:22 |
| increase 38:18 | items 2:6 | 17:25 18:18 | 3:3 | 11:1,16 | 13:8,12,14 |
| increased |  | 19:24 20:23 | lane 14:23 | 13:20 15:22 | 13:15,23,25 |
| 48:19 | J | 22:8 24:2,16 | lanes 49:23 | 16:15 21:10 | 18:20 20:25 |
| indicated 53:7 | Jackson 35:6,7 | 29:14 39:16 | large 37:17 | 21:11 22:8 | 21:1,24 22:3 |
| individual 25:4 | Jacob 2:19 | 40:23 42:2 | larger 7:19 | 30:2 31:3 | 23:10 24:25 |
| inevitably | James 6:13 | 47:2 49:15 | lastly 29:11 | 32:737:15 | 39:21 46:23 |
| 28:10 | JD's 44:9 | Kitchen 29:12 | 43:6 | 39:18 44:5 | 49:13 |
| information | Jeff 47:24 | knew 24:23 | laughing 40:23 | 44:25,25 | lots 13:24 |
| 12:16 | Jerry 2:13 | 33:16,17 | law 29:7 37:7 | 51:22 | love 21:16 |
| ingress 41:16 | 29:21 | know 4:20 | lawsuit 41:8 | live 10:5 20:6 | 43:22 |
| ingress/egress | Jessica 2:20 | 8:19 12:12 | lease 9:15,16 | 25:16 28:24 | low 19:18 |
| 19:14 | 3:4 4:13 35:3 | 12:20,22,24 | 17:15 | 28:25 31:1 | lunch 49:11,13 |
| inherent 35:19 | 35:4 | 13:16 16:24 | leave 45:25 | 34:1 35:8 | 49:16 |
| integrated | $\boldsymbol{J i m} 7: 25$ 13:1 | 17:14 20:21 | 49:16 | 36:12 39:11 | lunchtime |
| 18:3,22 | 13:4 24:14 | 21:5 22:20 | leaves 49:11 | 40:9 42:20 | 44:13 |
| integrity 20:11 | 26:1,1 28:4,6 | 23:15,20,23 | 49:12 | 43:12 44:8 |  |
| 34:16 | 47:7,8,10 | 24:2,4 25:11 | leaving 49:8 | 44:18,19 | M |
| intend 19:21 | Jim's 30:11 | 28:5,5,15,16 | left 2:13, 13 | 45:1 | ma'am 31:25 |
| 25:19 | job 45:16 | 30:10,12 | 32:15 39:3 | lively 21:3 | 33:25 39:10 |
| intent 21:3 | Johnson 40:8,8 | 31:6,7,11,13 | 42:23 45:8 | liven 20:23 | mailed 3:14 |
| 23:16 | Jordan 2:13 | 32:2 33:11 | 49:23 | 21:1 | main 19:14 |
| intention 20:4 | 5:25 6:7 23:5 | 33:12,19,20 | left-hand | living 29:2 | major 26:17 |
| 20:5 | 47:9,11,13 | 35:2,18,20 | 38:24 | Liz 2:15 | 27:16 |
| interest 31:2 | 48:18,22 | 35:23 38:14 | legal 41:11 | loading 41:17 | majority 25:5 |
| interests 27:25 | 49:3 52:2,20 | 38:16 39:22 | legally 42:2 | located 3:7 | mall 16:21 |
| 28:5,15 | Julie 44:8 | 40:12,20,22 | let's 3:20 14:16 | location 4:20 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m a n }} 29: 22$ |
| intersection | July 50:4,9 | 41:14,18 | 20:11,13 | logistics 38:14 | man's 34:12 |
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| 30:14 | mezzanine | mundane 15:6 | never 17:9,10 | 16:16,22 | 9:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marilu 34:1 | 16:20 20:20 | museum 43:17 | 25:10 33:16 | 17:3,4,5,9,19 | ourself 46:18 |
| Markussen | MF 9:6 | 44:4 | 33:16,17 | 18:23 20:15 | outdoor 11:2 |
| 2:25 4:15,15 | microphone |  | 51:8 | 24:11 33:21 | Outside 8:10 |
| 4:23 5:4,7,9 | 22:12,24 | N | new 7:18 35:21 | 35:7 48:7,9 | outsider 47:5 |
| 5:11,14 | 23:2 | N 53:14 | nice $24: 11,12$ | 48:24 49:5,7 | overall 7:25 |
| math 46:23 | middle 15:1,2 | name 4:15 | 29:12 40:25 | 49:9,15 | 11:13 33:18 |
| matter 36:17 | Miller 39:11 | 13:4 19:8 | nighttime | offices 20:16 | owner 26:9 |
| 38:12 50:21 | 39:11 | 26:8 28:23 | 45:11 | Oh 47:11,12 | 29:21 |
| maximum 10:2 | mind 37:24 | 29:21 30:25 | nine 7:5 | okay 3:6 4:19 | owners 27:9 |
| 10:7 16:10 | 41:19 | 35:6 36:12 | normal 14:23 | 5:6,8,15,18 | 27:15 30:15 |
| 17:1,2 41:24 | mindset 30:3 | 39:11,22 | north 8:19 | 6:1,11 12:12 | 35:17,20 |
| McFarlin 44:9 | Mine 16:14 | 40:8 42:7 | 21:7 24:4 | 14:3 16:12 | 50:22 |
| 44:18 45:1 | Minick 42:7,8 | 47:24 | 31:3 | 22:14 23:3 | owners' 51:15 |
| mean 16:7,14 | minimal 47:18 | named 14:8 | northeast | 25:14,25 | ownership |
| 17:2 18:25 | 48:16 49:2 | Nancy 39:11 | 37:16 | 26:1 28:22 | 31:2 |
| 19:6,8 21:16 | minimum | Neal 34:1,1 | northern 17:24 | 31:20 33:3 | owning 26:11 |
| 22:20 23:13 | 42:23 | near 19:21 | notice 8:2 | 36:21 40:7 | 35:19 |
| 24:1,2,8,23 | minute 10:9 | 37:11 49:12 | 14:14 30:12 | 46:1 47:12 | owns 13:6,6 |
| 32:12,23 | minutes 1:22 | nearly 41:6 | notices $3: 14,14$ | 49:3 50:3,7 |  |
| 41:9 47:2,4 | 12:14,15,19 | necessarily | 8:9 | 52:4,9 | P |
| meaning 19:23 | 19:17 23:20 | 12:20 | notification | once 50:13 | p.m 49:12 |
| measurements | 26:6 31:24 | necessitate 8:2 | 24:15,18 | one's 15:21 | packed 40:24 |
| 22:16,19 | 52:6,9,10,14 | need 12:21 | notion 35:13 | one-half 8:16 | paper 11:17 |
| mechanical | 52:17 | 23:25 25:20 | 35:23 | 13:24 | parallel 14:20 |
| 10:3,5 | missing 36:2 | 29:25 31:10 | number 6:2 | open 20:3 26:2 | parapet $37: 18$ |
| medieval 27:20 | misstated | 36:25 41:3 | 26:9,11 | 50:9 | parcels 3:14 |
| meeting 1:7,23 | 12:10 | 42:25 52:8 | 38:10 41:2 | opinion 13:16 | park 1:6,23 |
| 2:3,4 12:2,3 | mixed 6:14 | needs 29:9,9,9 | 46:16 | opinions 33:18 | 2:5,7,9 6:19 |
| 14:1152:7 | 17:12,18 | 30:12 39:6 |  | opportunity | 6:23 7:24 |
| 52:11,24 | 40:15 | 41:19,21 | 0 | 31:9 39:7 | 14:8 15:8 |
| meetings 13:14 | money 33:20 | 43:2 | oak 20:6 24:13 | 40:14 | 17:5 18:14 |
| 24:15 | month 50:4 | negotiated | oaks 25:16 | oppose $32: 17$ | 22:4 28:13 |
| members 2:11 | morning 44:12 | 10:22 | objecting 41:8 | 40:13 | 30:7,14,15 |
| 35:6 38:13 | 49:10 | negotiation | objections | opposed 3:15 | 33:12,14,15 |
| mentioned | motion 5:21 | 42:12 | 39:13 | 6:8 12:19 | 34:17 35:9 |
| 23:19 34:6 | 6:1,9 50:3,7 | neighborhood | objectives 48:2 | 15:15 34:2 | 39:24,25 |
| 37:4 50:11 | 51:24 52:5 | 24:21 25:3,8 | obviously | 42:12 52:4 | 40:3,3 41:8 |
| Mercer 2:13 | 52:10,13,16 | 30:20 33:9 | 13:18 18:10 | 52:22 | 47:3 48:1 |
| 5:22 6:6 | 52:23 | 34:15,16 | 20:15 33:20 | opposite 19:13 | parking 3:7 |
| 24:14 25:8 | move 5:22 | 38:13 41:13 | 33:23 43:2 | opposition | 4:1 8:16 9:1 |
| 25:14 49:5 | 34:17,19 | 42:15,24 | occupancy | 5:17,19 | 9:6,21 10:11 |
| 49:20 52:15 | moved 52:12 | 43:18,25 | 17:16 | 31:21 40:17 | 10:11,12 |
| 52:19 | movement | 44:5 47:17 | occur 15:9 | 42:5 47:3 | 11:5,14,15 |
| merchandise | 19:25 | 48:4,12,13 | 18:19 | 50:2 | 13:23,25 |
| 20:22 | multifamily | 48:14,16 | occurs 38:25 | option 9:14 | 15:5,23,24 |
| merchants | 8:17,21 9:1 | 49:2 | offered 9:8 | options 29:15 | 16:14 17:13 |
| 45:17 46:19 | multilevel | neighbors | office 8:15,25 | order 3:8 | 17:14 18:2,3 |
| mesh 19:24 | 41:12 | 13:13 36:24 | 9:5,7,13,16 | 12:13 | 18:12,23 |
| met 24:25 | multistory | Neil 2:15 | 10:1,13 | ordinance 9:24 | 19:23 20:2 |
| Methodist 3:1 | 41:9 | neither 37:8 | 11:12,16 | ordinances | 21:23,24 |
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| 23:9,10 | Paul 43:11 | 35:22 | 30:14,21 | presence 38:5 | 32:3 34:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26:18,20 | 53:14 | phonetic 29:20 | 31:3,4 32:11 | 38:11 | 35:11 36:2,8 |
| 27:2,2,6 29:4 | pavement 3:11 | 35:7 42:7 | 32:20 33:11 | present 2:12 | 37:11 |
| 29:6 30:8,16 | 4:5,24 5:5 | 43:11 | 35:12,18,20 | 40:12 53:7 | projected |
| 31:8 32:17 | paving 5:1 | photo 3:18 8:6 | 35:23 39:20 | presentation | 19:19 |
| 32:21,24 | pay 39:24 | pickup 34:22 | 39:23 40:2 | 10:16 11:20 | projects 22:3 |
| 33:23 34:3 | 50:23 | pickups 40:22 | 44:14 47:5 | 13:9 35:10 | 51:8 |
| 35:12,21 | PD 3:8 8:20 | picture 3:12 | 48:1 49:13 | presented | propensity |
| 37:18 39:25 | 13:22 17:1 | 11:1,16 | 49:24 50:22 | 26:17,19 | 7:18 |
| 40:6,14 41:9 | 17:12 50:13 | pictures 4:6,8 | 50:24 51:1 | 28:19 36:9 | proper 15:1 |
| 41:12,13 | 50:16 | 17:20,21 | please 3:5 6:20 | 49:21 | properties 8:2 |
| 43:2,2 44:19 | PD1R 8:20 | piece 14:9 | 6:21 12:20 | presenting | 27:15 43:7 |
| 45:8 46:5,15 | pedestrian | place 9:23 | 22:14 29:24 | 27:17 | property 3:18 |
| 49:1 50:14 | 36:3 | 14:11,12,12 | 35:5 | presently 36:3 | 3:22 4:6 13:7 |
| 50:16,23,24 | Peggy 26:23 | 22:17 33:9 | pleasing 35:14 | preserve 34:15 | 26:14,15 |
| 51:2 | penny 39:24 | 34:17 39:25 | 43:14 | preside 12:11 | 28:10 30:14 |
| parks 14:20 | penthouse 10:4 | 44:5 46:14 | plenty 18:20 | Preston 37:25 | 36:19,25 |
| 15:18 16:10 | 10:4 | places 30:6,7 | 19:11 | pretty 4:10,11 | 41:4 42:10 |
| 17:5,7 46:10 | people 12:13 | 30:13 | plus 37:18 | 13:16 14:2 | 50:14,22 |
| 46:17,19,22 | 13:12,17 | plan 3:2,9,9,19 | point 13:10 | 14:13 15:6 | proposal 4:14 |
| 46:23 | 18:21 19:5 | 6:14,16 9:8 | 15:12 20:17 | 16:8 18:13 | 5:16,17,19 |
| part 3:10,19 | 23:25 24:12 | 9:14 10:1,9 | 21:18 28:12 | 18:15 37:12 | 7:4,14 8:15 |
| 6:18 7:17 | 25:6 27:22 | 10:24 13:20 | 37:22,23 | 37:20 | 10:20 19:3 |
| 10:16 14:10 | 28:4 34:17 | 14:3,4,6 | 41:17 46:8 | previous 51:14 | proposals 7:6 |
| 16:18 18:6 | 34:19 36:6 | 21:15 23:14 | pointed 21:19 | previously | 9:10 |
| 19:20 20:5,6 | 39:15 43:16 | 25:15 27:4 | pointing 23:21 | 37:14 | propose 19:1 |
| 21:25,25 | 44:22 45:9 | 27:17 35:14 | points 36:16 | price 10:22 | proposed 8:1 |
| 22:17 27:13 | 46:3,18 47:2 | 39:12 40:11 | 37:5 | prices 42:19 | 8:18 9:8 10:1 |
| 30:19,19 | 47:8 48:8,12 | 46:14 47:14 | pole 41:13 | Princeton | 10:10 11:4,9 |
| 36:7 43:23 | 48:14 49:16 | planned 3:6 | pops 19:20 | 39:12 | 11:13 43:8 |
| 44:18 47:1,1 | 51:6 | 8:18,20 9:19 | Porter 26:11 | prior 9:10 | proposing 9:12 |
| 47:5 | percent 15:17 | 9:21,23 | 29:21 | 46:14 | 9:16 13:22 |
| partially 35:11 | percentage | planner 2:21 | portion 4:3 | probably 3:24 | 16:16 17:22 |
| participation | 20:2 | planning 1:7 | positive 30:19 | 14:4,5 21:2 | prospect 35:21 |
| 46:15 | perfect 28:17 | 1:23 2:4 | 49:12 | 23:14 32:13 | prospectives |
| particular 25:4 | periods 49:6 | 40:10 44:2 | possible 19:18 | 38:2 51:4 | 17:23 |
| particularly | permanent | plans 27:24,24 | 31:23 38:23 | problem 14:19 | protect 20:10 |
| 37:17 51:1 | 41:20,22 | plant 23:24 | 39:2 46:20 | 14:24 33:17 | 23:6 34:25 |
| parties 8:2 | 45:7 | planting 23:22 | pot 5:11 | 33:19,22 | 42:15 45:16 |
| partnership | permit 50:16 | play 34:7 | potential 30:16 | 51:7 | 45:17 |
| 13:6,6 26:9 | permits 10:25 | plaza 2:7,9 | 42:17 | problems 27:2 | provide 50:25 |
| Pat 2:19 12:7,8 | perpetual | 6:24 8:19 | potentially | 35:19 50:22 | provided |
| 50:13 | 41:22 | 14:7,8,10,13 | 49:13 | proceedings | 11:11 |
| patio 11:11 | Personally | 18:11,15,15 | pour 3:25 | 53:6 | public 2:19,24 |
| patrick 6:20 | 32:3 | 18:16,17 | Power 13:10 | process 10:24 | 4:9 5:19 6:12 |
| 13:21 16:23 | perspective | 19:7 21:5,20 | powers 30:4 | project 12:18 | 8:2 10:17,20 |
| 16:25 | 41:15 51:23 | 22:17,21 | pray 30:3 | 14:9,15 | 11:21 12:3,3 |
| patrons 30:14 | perspectives | 26:10,12,13 | predominantly | 16:14 17:15 | 21:23 26:2 |
| pattern 51:17 | 45:18 | 27:3,22 28:1 | 48:14 | 17:25 18:6 | 46:1 50:8,10 |
| Patterson 1:24 | Phillips 32:1,1 | 28:25 29:14 | prepared | 26:3 28:19 | pulled 37:21 |
| 53:4,12 | Phillips' 35:13 | 29:22 30:6 | 47:14 | 31:4,8,19 | pulling 39:15 |
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| purchase | ranges 17:2 | regarding 4:20 | 41:10 | Rob 2:14,14 | section 3:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42:19 | Rankin 28:24 | 49:23 | residents | Robert 28:23 | 11:7,14 34:5 |
| purely 28:14 | rate 42:17 | Registration | 34:25 45:16 | Roger 26:8 | see 3:20 10:9 |
| Purette 43:11 | read 19:17 | 53:14 | response 7:10 | 28:20 31:6 | 14:16 15:2 |
| 43:11 | real 13:19 28:5 | regularly 29:1 | responses 3:15 | 31:11 | 16:15 17:23 |
| purposes | 28:6 50:21 | regulations 3:2 | 3:17 | room 18:20 | 19:25 20:1 |
| 37:24 | reality 46:9 | reinvent 30:10 | responsibility | Rosedale 4:7 | 20:13 24:12 |
| pursue 19:10 | really 9:17 | relevant 9:2,17 | 34:25 | 26:24 | 28:2 33:10 |
| pushing 39:16 | 11:20 14:12 | relief 50:25 | rest 8:11 9:23 | roughly 4:23 | 34:19,25 |
| put 9:23 15:17 | 14:17 16:13 | relieve 29:6 | 15:12,13,22 | 23:1 51:15 | 35:15 37:18 |
| 20:6 25:4,19 | 17:21 19:5,7 | remains 9:24 | restaurant | Rufus 26:11 | 41:15 43:17 |
| 33:2 34:11 | 19:13 20:10 | remember | 9:13,15 10:8 | rules 50:17 | 44:16 45:14 |
| 36:23 38:15 | 20:15,21 | 33:13 | 10:13 11:8 | rush 40:22 | 45:17 52:24 |
| 40:9 41:12 | 21:4,13 22:6 | remembers | 11:10 17:2,6 | ruts 4:9 | seen 27:24 |
| 45:5,9 51:22 | 23:23 24:1 | 38:10 | 18:25 19:1 |  | self-explanat... |
| putting 5:1 | 30:18 39:15 | renderings | restaurants | S | 18:15 |
| puzzle 17:13 | 39:17 40:17 | 11:17 | 19:2,6 26:22 | s 32:19 | sell 19:3 |
| PZ 2:24 5:22 | 50:23 51:8 | renovated 29:9 | 26:23 27:1,7 | safe 22:7 | send 8:8 |
| 5:22 6:2,11 | reason 9:16 | rental 27:6 | 27:9 49:15 | safety $4: 9$ | sensitive 26:14 |
|  | 16:23 17:4 | 42:17 | result 41:10,11 | satisfied 23:25 | serious 38:16 |
| Q | 19:13 20:8 | renting 33:21 | retail 8:23 9:14 | 31:17 | session 11:8 |
| question 4:20 | 20:14,19 | replace 36:9 | 9:15 10:7,14 | saw 13:9 | setback 32:5,6 |
| 22:14 28:11 | 27:18 28:3 | reported 53:6 | 11:7,9 16:17 | says 12:9 | 32:6 |
| 38:3 43:19 | 50:18 | Reporter 53:4 | 16:18,19,22 | 48:15 49:4 | setting 27:5 |
| 47:13 48:1 | reasonable | represent 13:5 | 17:3,8 18:7 | scale 21:11 | settlement 4:2 |
| 48:17 | 12:23 27:12 | representing | 18:23 21:3 | 37:4,12 38:3 | seven 8:9 44:4 |
| questions 4:17 | 43:3 | 2:25 | 22:1 26:22 | scape 22:17 | seven-story |
| 5:14,20 | reasons 26:17 | reputation | 27:8 33:14 | scared 39:17 | 10:1 |
| 11:22 12:4,6 | 29:3 34:2 | 28:3,7,8 | 33:21 36:1 | scary 39:16 | shaded 15:8 |
| 22:10,11,15 | 48:7 | request 2:25 | 41:9 | scenic 35:25 | shaft 18:8 |
| 25:25 46:2 | receive 7:10 | 6:2,12,21 | Rick 36:12 | school 29:7 | sheet 16:13 |
| 47:8 49:17 | received 3:15 | 14:17 50:14 | 39:9 | 40:22 43:20 | Sherry 1:24 |
| 49:18,21,22 | 8:9,11 11:23 | requests 51:10 | rid 3:24 | 44:13 | 53:4,12 |
| quickly 12:24 | 35:3 | require 9:18 | ride 32:10 36:7 | screen 19:22 | shiny 39:13 |
| quite 7:20 9:2 | receiving | 9:20 42:23 | riding 32:13 | screened 19:23 | shop 34:18 |
| 18:16 19:10 | 11:23 | required 3:3 | right $2: 14,15$ | 19:24 | 40:4 |
| 23:13 39:14 | recognize | 10:13 | 4:22,23 6:9 | screening 23:7 | shoppers 40:3 |
| 46:15 50:20 | 13:12 30:5 | requirement | 10:21 13:20 | seating 11:2 | short 48:16 |
| R | recommenda... | 9:22 15:16 | 14:2,19 | second 2:8,22 | short-term |
| R\&D 29:12 | 12:3 | 46:10 | 15:25 16:17 | 5:24,25 6:2 $15 \cdot 20$ 16:18 | 26:20 |
| Ralph 29:21 | 51:22 | 9:1 17:15 | 1:22 25:22 | 16:19,20 | 53: |
| rambled 22:8 | record 10:17 | reserve 30:16 | 31:20 34:3 | 17:18 18:25 | show 3:20 8:25 |
| ramp 16:1,4,6 | 40:19 | residences | 37:13 38:6 | 19:2 21:21 | 11:19 12:16 |
| 16:8 | recorded 53:5 | 33:23 45:12 | 39:7 40:14 | 27:18 29:7 | 13:9 15:6,23 |
| ramping 15:10 | recording 1:24 | resident 32:19 | 40:16 44:9 | 32:10 35:13 | 15:24 16:17 |
| 15:14 | 2:1 52:25 | 32:20 42:8 | 44:20 45:1,2 | 35:22 45:23 | 18:5 19:16 |
| ramps 15:9 | 53:8 | 47:3 | 48:25 | 50:5,6,8 | 19:16 20:4 |
| 16:5 | redundant | residential | right-of-way | 52:14,15 | 20:15,19,22 |
| range 51:20 | 13:20 | 23:6 34:5,8 | 4:25 10:20 | seconded | 20:22 21:10 |
| ranged 7:6 | referring 38:4 | 34:24 36:14 | RL 4:15 | 52:17 | 21:10 |
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| showed 13:21 | 14:10 18:16 | 45:21 51:19 | stay 47:10 | 48:2,15 49:4 | talks 27:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:13 25:6 | 19:7 21:5 | speaker 22:12 | Steele 2:20 3:6 | stuff 14:2 15:6 | tall 23:16 |
| showing 8:3 | 26:10,13 | 22:24 23:2 | 35:3 | subgrade | 39:13 |
| 11:14 17:1 | 27:3,21 | 45:22 | stenographic... | 10:10 | taxation 28:13 |
| shown 10:21 | 28:25 29:14 | speaking 36:18 | 53:6 | subject 3:12 | tear 7:18 |
| 16:12 19:15 | 29:22 30:6 | 38:19 | step 20:24 | 6:16 | technical 37:15 |
| 37:14 | 30:14,20 | Spear 2:19 | 28:10 | substitute 36:9 | TECHNOL |
| shows 8:4,6 | 31:2 32:11 | special 42:2 | stepped 38:5 | Sue's 26:24 | 53:13 |
| 14:4,7 17:24 | 32:20 33:11 | specifically | steps 23:5 | suggestions | Teeter 30:25 |
| 18:2,2,3,13 | 35:12,18,20 | 14:7 | Stewart 2:25 | 46:8 | 31:1 |
| 18:22 20:15 | 35:23 39:20 | spell 17:1 | 4:15 | Suite 53: | Telephone |
| side 19:13 | 39:23 40:2 | 20:8 | stone 24:7 | summarily | 53:15 |
| 26:13 34:6 | 44:14 47:5 | spelled 16:23 | stood 34:13 | 7:15 | tell 29:24 |
| 43:8 | 49:13,24 | spending 46:23 | 40:23 | summari | 32:12 39:24 |
| sides 20:2 26:4 | 50:22,24 | spoke 46:3 | stop 15:2 | 47:16 | ten 10:3 |
| $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i g n }} 17: 15$ | 51:1 | spoken 27:4,9 | stopped 36 | summary | tenant 21:2 |
| significant | solution 15:18 | spots 21:24 | stops 7:13 | 48:17 | tenants 19:10 |
| 38:18 41:3 | 47:1,6 | square 7:6,8 | stores 32:20 | support 31:5 | 20:20 22:1 |
| 41:20 | solve 40:14 | 7:23 9:5,9,12 | stories 7:5 | 42:1 43:25 | 30:15 43:5 |
| signs 40:4 | somebody | 9:13,14,20 | 33:4 41:7 | supportive | 45:10 |
| silent 9:24 | 27:23 | 10:2,7 36:19 | 44:4 | 44:1 | tend 22:3 |
| similar 29:3 | somebody | 36:22 37:19 | story 19:2 33:3 | supports 8:13 | terms 34:14 |
| simply 15:20 | 39:25 | 41:5,24 | straight 16:4 | suppose $38: 25$ | Texas 1:25 |
| single 6:14 | someplace | 42:17 51:20 | street 9:21 | supposed 32:8 | 43:23 53:1,5 |
| 8:21,22 | 10:4,5 | squeeze 42:18 | 15:2 24:4 | sure 23:15 | 53:12,15 |
| 32:14 | son 39:17,23 | St 53:14 | 39:22 45:2,4 | 37:6,7,7,11 | thank 2:9 4:13 |
| sir 6:22 23:8 | sorry $2: 21$ | staff 2:12,18 | 53:14 | 41:7,25 | 6:9,22 13:2,3 |
| 28:22 43:10 | 16:25 39:12 | 12:4 18:4 | streets 45:1 | surface 17:23 | 23:3 25:22 |
| sit 37:20 | sort 36:2,17 | 36:17 38:2 | string 24:12 | 30:16 | 26:1 28:20 |
| site 3:12,19 | south 8:21 | 49:22 50:2 | Strode 6:13 | surrounding | 28:21 29:17 |
| 6:16 7:5 | 14:25 21:4 | stairs 18:11 | 7:25 13:1,3,5 | 8:14 | 29:18 30:22 |
| 10:24 14:6 | 23:6,19 34:6 | stairwell 18:8 | 22:13,19,23 |  | 30:23 31:17 |
| 21:15 25:4 | 51:1 | stairwells 22:5 | 23:8 24:18 | T | 31:18 33:24 |
| sitting 30:4 | Southern 3 | 22:6 | 25:10,19 | take 6:25 | 35:1,5,9 |
| situated 6:17 | space 10:8 | stalwart 42:14 | 26:14,16 | 15:25 17:19 | 36:10,11 |
| six 3:15 16:5,7 | 33:21 | standards 9:25 | 27:4,5,17 | 20:20 28:17 | 39:9 40:6,7 |
| size 7:23 9:3 | spaces 9:21 | standing 4:8 | 28:4,6 34:21 | 30:12 38:15 | 42:4 43:8,9 |
| 9:17,22 | 10:12 | 21:8 | 36:23 38:19 | 39:1 | 43:12 44:5,6 |
| 14:23 | spacious 14:14 | standpoint | 42:10 43:6 | taken 17:16 | 45:18,20 |
| sizes 51:15 | 14:15 | 14:6,18 | 43:13 46:3,4 | 23:5,9 | 47:7 49:18 |
| slight 16:1,8 | SPC 13:5 | 28:14,14 | 46:7 47:11 | takes 17:5 | thereabouts |
| Sloan 32:1 | speak 4:14 | stands 36:8 | structure 3:22 | talk 7:2 15:5 | 31:24 |
| small 25:18 | 5:16,17,18 | start 2:3 13:8 | 7:14 11:12 | 46:18 | Thetford 28:23 |
| 42:21 | 12:13,18 | 13:10 32:4 | 15:10 23:10 | talked 18:24 | 28:24 |
| smallest 7:9 | 13:2,4,17 | 41:21 | 36:14 37:10 | 28:4 38:9 | thing 12:21 |
| 42:16 | 26:3,7,16 | starting 41:20 | 37:12 41:7 | 43:19 | 14:16 21:18 |
| SMU 2:8,9 3:9 | 29:19 30:24 | State 1:25 53:1 | structured | talking 3:21 | 29:25 39:18 |
| 4:10,14,16 | 31:19,21 | 53:5 | 50:14,16 | 4:3 7:16 | 47:18 49:12 |
| 6:9 29:7 | 33:25 38:2 | statement | stuck 33:14 | 10:18 16:25 | things 5:12 |
| 33:11 | 39:10 42:5 | 10:15 47:18 | study 38:20 | 18:14 22:13 | 10:17,19 |
| Snider 8:19 | 43:10 44:7 | 47:20 48:18 | 43:1 47:25 | 29:23 | 22:9 31:14 |
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| 43:15 44:20 | three-story | 48:19,20,23 | 15:21 16:17 | vehicle 49:14 | 29:20,21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45:4 50:11 | 32:21 | 49:1,6 | 21:21 22:1 | ventilation | watched 44:10 |
| 50:20 | thrilled 32:4 | transcribed | 26:19,19 | 20:3 | water 4:8 |
| think 4:19 7:17 | tight 32:7 | 1:24 | 41:20 | version 8:7 | way $13: 1114: 3$ |
| 11:17,22 | time 6:25 7:11 | Transcription | two-and-a-h... | video 1:24 2:1 | 15:15 27:16 |
| 13:11 14:4,8 | 12:5,23 26:1 | 1:22 | 25:16 | 52:25 | 30:21 36:1 |
| 14:9,10,24 | 27:21 35:9 | trash 34:22 | type 3:23 20:8 | view 17:24 | 37:20 42:12 |
| 15:14,18 | 36:10,21 | travel 48:9 | 23:14 | 19:12 20:4 | 42:16 44:19 |
| 16:6,15,23 | 40:9 42:6,11 | traveling | types 28:17 | 20:13 21:7,8 | 45:13 51:3 |
| 17:11 18:4 | 43:10 44:7 | 48:13 | Typical 49:9 | 21:12 37:16 | Wayne 40:8 |
| 18:13,15,20 | 44:14 45:21 | traverse 35:25 | typically 49:11 | Village 33:14 | 42:4 |
| 19:10 21:19 | 48:11 49:7 | tree 20:8 23:14 |  | 33:15 | Wayne's 42:25 |
| 21:22,25 | 53:8 | 33:2 | U | voice 13:11 | we'll 5:4 12:3 |
| 22:1 23:22 | times 12:9 | trees 20:7 23:7 | ugly 45:14 | vote $36: 22$ | 45:25 46:10 |
| 24:12 27:25 | today 7:12,17 | 23:16,24 | undecided | 45:23 | we're 2:8 3:21 |
| 28:1,9,12 | 7:17,19,21 | 24:13 25:20 | 3:16 8:10 | voted 50:12 | 4:3,17,25 |
| 29:5,11,15 | 7:25 9:8 48:7 | tremendous | underbrush | 51:19 | 7:16,21 |
| 30:2 31:9,10 | 49:21 | 40:21 | 23:24 |  | 10:18 11:21 |
| 32:4,7,20 | toes 20:24 | tried 19:16 | underground | W | 11:23 13:22 |
| 33:6 35:16 | tonight 2:6,12 | 24:20 25:1 | 11:14 34:3 | walk 35:24 | 14:3,6,9,10 |
| 35:18 36:24 | 2:24 6:23 | tries 44:15 | 46:22 | 36:6 43:20 | 14:22 16:16 |
| 37:4,8,10 | 11:18,22 | trips 49:10,13 | understand | walks 14:14 | 16:21 17:22 |
| 38:1,3,12 | 51:5 | trucks 5:10 | 32:24 | 18:18 | 18:25 19:4,4 |
| 39:4,12,13 | tons 45:3 | 31:14 | underwrite | walkway 39:19 | 19:6 20:3 |
| 39:20 40:1,1 | top 10:5 18:6 | true 14:23 17:2 | 27:12 | wall 11:13 | 21:14,14 |
| 40:5,13,16 | 26:19,19 | 41:2 | UNIDENTIF... | 41:23 | 23:8,24 24:5 |
| 40:19 43:13 | 32:14 38:6 | truly 41:1,18 | 45:22 | want 2:9 12:13 | 24:25 28:9 |
| 43:17,22 | 41:12 | trustees 24:20 | university 1:6 | 13:17 15:5 | 32:4,4 40:13 |
| 44:2 45:4,9 | total 9:8 10:12 | try 16:21 19:4 | 1:22 2:5 3:1 | 15:12 19:6 | 40:16 42:12 |
| 47:17 49:20 | 27:12 | 20:10 21:4 | 6:19 7:24 | 19:10 20:8,9 | 43:4 46:17 |
| 50:19,20 | tower 11:16 | 26:5 31:23 | 8:24 28:13 | 20:17,24 | we've 8:9,11 |
| 51:5,7 | 43:17 44:4 | 37:15 43:15 | 34:17 35:8 | 21:1,3,16 | 11:23 13:13 |
| thinking 27:16 | town 13:14 | 45:9 | 41:8 43:12 | 22:9 24:3,3 | 13:14 14:7 |
| 38:22 | 24:15 35:1 | trying 12:23 | 45:3 | 26:16 29:24 | 15:3,16 16:9 |
| third 28:3 | track 40:14,16 | 14:6 17:11 | upper 11:10 | 32:21,22 | 18:3,18,20 |
| 32:17 | tract 6:16 | 22:6 30:9 | uptown 33:7 | 33:20,22 | 18:22 19:16 |
| thought 18:4 | 13:23,25 | 39:21 | use 6:14 10:15 | 43:10,15 | 22:3,4 23:9 |
| 36:21 39:5 | tracts 8:15 | Tubb 36:12,12 | 17:18 21:23 | 47:1,5 50:23 | 23:11 24:5,5 |
| 41:11 44:4 | traffic 14:18 | turn 14:20 | 23:23 24:15 | 51:22 | 24:25 25:1 |
| three 3:16 5:1 | 14:23,24 | 26:25 32:15 | 29:11,16 | wanted 5:18 | 29:24 30:17 |
| 5:5,6,7 6:13 | 15:4 31:13 | 45:8 48:25 | 40:15 48:9 | 10:16 15:23 | 30:18,18 |
| 8:14,21 | 32:14 38:8 | 49:23 | uses 10:14 | 17:16,17 | 37:4 42:20 |
| 10:11 12:19 | 38:14,18,23 | turned 33:14 | 17:12 18:24 | 19:16 20:4 | 43:19 46:8,8 |
| 15:20,21 | 40:17,21 | 51:9 | 24:5,11 | 20:15,19 | 46:9,11 51:6 |
| 18:24 26:6 | 41:3 42:3,16 | turns 38:24 |  | 21:10,18 | 51:21 |
| 26:17,23 | 43:1,20,21 | tweaked 28:16 | V | 36:16 | website 25:5 |
| 29:2 31:23 | 44:11,24 | 31:6 | valet 18:14 | wanting 46:18 | week 13:14 |
| 33:4 35:8 | 45:1,2,4,5 | tweaks 31:11 | valid 40:1 | wants 12:25 | 20:9 27:22 |
| 41:7 | 47:13,14,16 | two 2:6 3:15 | validated 43:1 | 25:1 | welcome 2:3 |
| three-quarters | 47:25 48:2,6 | 5:2,7 8:15,17 | vegetation | wasn't 16:24 | 12:22 |
| 26:12 | 48:6,15,16 | 9:5,6 13:13 | 3:23 | Wastrum | went 29:7 |
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| west $2: 2,15$ | 18:24 28:8 | 40:10 50:15 | 2 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:13,19 5:3,6 | 31:15 35:10 | 50:15 | 20 23:10 41:21 | 40 12:9,10 |
| 5:8,10,13,24 | 35:23 36:23 | zoomed 8:7 | 200 8:3,4,5 | 19:20,21,21 |
| 6:1,8,17 8:20 | 39:24 43:5 |  | 9:21 32:2 | 23:1 32:13 |
| 10:8 11:4,15 | 46:25 48:8 | 0 | 200-foot 3:13 | 37:18 |
| 12:6,12 14:1 | $49: 15$ worked 46.13 | 1 | 2000 4:1 | 40-something |
| 15:9,10 | worked 46:13 | $\frac{1}{1.58 \text { 6:16 }}$ | 2001 4:17:4 | 24:19 |
| 20:14 22:11 $22: 13,25$ | 46:14 47:25 working 19:4 | 10 12:14 | 2006 7:6 | 40,000 9:20 |
| 22:13,25 23:3,7,17,18 | working 19:4 $35: 20$ | 10-foot 23:11 | 2009 7:13 | 400 53:14 |
| 25:13,23,25 | works 2:19 | 100 10:12 | 36:21 $\mathbf{2 0 1 1} 36 \cdot 19$ | 41,900 10:7 $\mathbf{4 5} 3.148: 8$ |
| 28:20,22 | 39:23 | 30:20 38:20 | 20161 1:8,24 | 23:1 23.8 |
| 29:18 30:23 | world 33:2 | 41:1 46:11 | 2014 22:11 |  |
| 31:18 33:24 | worried 39:18 | 46:23 | 53:10 | 5 |
| 35:4 36:11 | worth 37:24 | 100,000 41:24 | 214-698-5199 | 5:00 2:2 49:8 |
| 38:9 39:9 | 38:4 | 10th 52:7,11 | 53:15 | 50 23:3 27:1 |
| 40:7 42:4 | wouldn't 18:10 | 1110 53:14 | 23 3:7 | 598 53:14 |
| 43:8,9 44:6 | writing 34:12 | 12 6:19 27:20 | 25 32:8,9 |  |
| 45:20,24 | wrong 38:2 | 12/31/16 53:13 | 260,000 7:7 | 6 |
| 47:7,10,12 | Wynn 35:7 | 1207:15 | 27 46:22 | 6 6:18 |
| 47:22 48:3 |  | 120-foot 7:9 | 27,000 9:14 | 65 37:10 |
| 48:13,14,20 | X | 127,000 9:9 | 27,000-square | 6600 26:12,21 |
| 49:2,17 50:3 |  | 127,880 10:2 128 9:9 | 9:4 | 6704 29:22 |
| 50:7 52:4,13 | $\frac{\mathrm{Y}}{\text { y'all } 32.220}$ | 128 9:9 128 | 270,000-squ... | $672735: 7$ |
| 52:16,22 wheel $30: 10$ | y'all 32:2,20 yard 40:23 | 128,000 17:6,8 17:9 | 7:5 | 68 37:10 |
| wheel 30:10 whip 39:3 | yeah 5:9 22:22 | 129 10:3 | 3 | 7 |
| Whitaker | year 7:22 20:9 | 12th 50:4 | 3 6:19 | 7 6:19 |
| 47:21,24,24 | years 4:2 | 51:25 | $3023: 9$ | 70 27:1 |
| 48:21,23 | 20:25 27:20 | $13037: 9$ | 30-foot 37:18 | 710 10:12 |
| 49:4,9 | 29:7,25 30:1 | 135,000 7:8 | 30,000 46:22 | 72 7:11 41:5,6 |
| white 32:18 | 30:20 32:3 | 36:19,22 | 312,000 7:7 | 72-foot 7:8 |
| wide 18:18 | 34:14 38:11 | 51:10,15 | 32 19:19 | 75 38:1 |
| 22:25 | 41:21 42:10 | 14 1:8,23 2:4 | 320,000 7:7 | 75201 53:15 |
| widen 5:5 | 42:11 44:10 | 8:11,12 | 33 19:19 44:10 | 7607 53:12 |
| wife 28:24 35:8 | 45:15,15,15 | 15 4:2 8:11 | 3309 31:1 | 7702 26:9 |
| 40:21 | 47:4 | 12:15 27:20 | 3330 3:8 | 7900 7:23 |
| willing 27:12 | yellow 3:13 | 38:11 42:10 | 3407 36:12 | 8 |
| 46:17 | yesterday | 42:11 | 3421 34:1 | 8 |
| windows 44:11 | 38:19 | 15,000 9:13 | 3425 35:8 | 8:00 40:22 |
| wire 19:24 | you-all 2:21 | 16 29:25 30:1 | 3429 32:1 | 80 38:1 |
| wish 13:1 | 40:9 45:15 | 32:3 34:14 | 3437 28:24 | 8000-square |
| 26:16 | 52:24 | 16-003 6:11 | $3510: 10$ 11:4 | 7:22 |
| women 22:3 | young 28:24 | 16-005 2:24 | 12:8 22:25 | 86,000 9:12 |
| wonderful | Z | $5: 236: 3$ $\mathbf{1 8 , 0 0 0} 9: 5$ | $47: 4$ $\mathbf{3 5 2 8} 43: 12$ | 9 |
| 45:16 word 34:12 | zoning 1:7,23 | 1800-square | $\mathbf{3 5 2 8} 43: 12$ $\mathbf{3 5 4 7} 42: 8$ | 9:00 49:7 |
| words 17:6 | 2:4 6:13 8:1 | 7:21 | $3601 \text { 40:9 }$ |  |
| 35:17 | 8:1,14,15,16 | 187,000-squ... | 3651 44:9,18 |  |
| wordy 10:15 | 8:17 9:1,5,6 9:17,24 | $7: 14$ $197334: 8$ | 3701 39:12 |  |
| work 11:8 | 9:17,24 | 1973 34:8 |  |  |

